

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

****E-Filed 6/9/09****

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
100 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2525
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104-4303
TELEPHONE 415-281-2000

FACSIMILE 415-281-2010

David A. Tartaglio (State Bar No. 117232)
d.tartaglio@mpglaw.com
Catherine M. Lee (State Bar No. 197197)
c.lee@mpglaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE
MEDICINE and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

OPTIONS NATIONAL FERTILITY
REGISTRY, a California Corporation, and
JESSICA and class of plaintiffs believed to be
similarly situated (women egg donors whose
eggs were allegedly given to unknown and
unauthorized recipients via "egg sharing"
without their informed consent, in violation of
an existing legally binding contract),

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR
REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE; SOCIETY
FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE
TECHNOLOGY; DOES 1 through 102
(REGISTERED INFERTILITY
PHYSICIANS) AND DOES 103 through 1500
(FERTILITY CLINICS AND ASSOCIATED
PROFESSIONAL DEFENDANTS),

Defendants.

Case No. C 07 5238 JF

Complaint Filed: October 12, 2007
FAC Filed: January 16, 2009
SAC Filed: June __, 2009

**FIFTH STIPULATION PURSUANT TO
L.R. 6-1(b) TO CONTINUE CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
AND
~~FIFTH~~ ORDER**

Trial Date: None.

1 Plaintiffs and defendants The American Society for Reproductive Medicine and
2 Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology, appearing by and through their respective
3 undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to continue the Case Management Conference currently
4 scheduled on July 10, 2009 for sixty-three (63) day to and including September 11, 2009. The
5 continuance is needed because the parties have stipulated that defendants shall have to and
6 including July 24, 2009 to file their response to plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint. It would
7 be premature to hold the case management conference before defendants file their response as the
8 parties cannot effectively meet and confer on issues that will arise in the conference. With the
9 requested extension, the next date for the case management conference shall be September 11,
10 2009 at 10:30 a.m. or the first available date thereafter. A joint case management conference
11 statement shall be filed by no later than August 28, 2009, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

12 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

13
14 DATED: June 5, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF STANLEY G. HILTON

15
16 By: 
17 Stanley G. Hilton
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

18 DATED: June , 2009

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

19
20 Bv: _____
21 Catherine M. Lee
22 Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
23 SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
24 and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
25 REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

26 ORDER

27 IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 Dated: _____, 2009

JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT

1 Plaintiffs and defendants The American Society for Reproductive Medicine and
 2 Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology, appearing by and through their respective
 3 undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to continue the Case Management Conference currently
 4 scheduled on **July 10, 2009** for sixty-three (63) day to and including **September 11, 2009**. The
 5 continuance is needed because the parties have stipulated that defendants shall have to and
 6 including July 24, 2009 to file their response to plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint. It would
 7 be premature to hold the case management conference before defendants file their response as the
 8 parties cannot effectively meet and confer on issues that will arise in the conference. With the
 9 requested extension, the next date for the case management conference shall be **September 11,**
 10 **2009** at 10:30 a.m. or the first available date thereafter. A joint case management conference
 11 statement shall be filed by no later than **August 28, 2009**, unless otherwise ordered by the court.

12 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

13 Respectfully submitted,

14 DATED: June , 2009

LAW OFFICES OF STANLEY G. HILTON

16 By: _____

Stanley G. Hilton
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

18 DATED: June , 2009

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

20 By: _____

Catherine M. Lee / by kmr
 Catherine M. Lee
 Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
 SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE
 and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
 REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

24 ORDER

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: June 9 , 2009

27 JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES
 DISTRICT COURT